(*c*) 9, 11, 13, 15 to 27-2-1929; 1, 4, 5, 9, 11, 12, 14, 16, 18, 29 and 30-3-1929; 1, 2, 8, 9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 21, 26, 27, 29 and 30-4-1929; 1, 11, 12, 19, 21, 24, 28, 30 and 31-5-1929; 2, 4 to 10, 13 14, 16, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27 and 30-6-1929; 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 10 to 16, 20 to 22, 24, 25, 27, 28, 29, 30 and 31-7-1929; 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 20 to 24, 27, 28 and 30-8-1929; 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12 and 13-9-1929.

(*d*) 7-11-1930.

Urinal for the Trivandrum District and Munsiffs' Courts.

97. **MR. A. THANU PILLAI** (*Trivandrum*): Will the Government be pleased to state whether they are aware that there is no urinal arrangement for parties and vakils in the Trivandrum District and Munsiffs' Courts?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): Yes. Arrangements have been made to construct a urinal in the local District Court compound.

Expenses incurred in repairing minor irrigation tanks.

98. **MR. K. MADHAVAN PILLAI** (*Trivandrum* cum *Neduvangad*): (1) Are the Government aware that contributions of money due from ryots in yearly instalments towards expenses incurred in repairing minor irrigation tanks are often levied in lump sums made up of the dues of several years?

(2) If so, will they be pleased to state whether they have considered the desirability of issuing orders insisting upon the collection of these dues in ten yearly instalments?

MR. T. K. MADHAVAN NAYAR (*Ag. Secretary to Government*): (1) The Land Revenue Commissioner reports that in 7 cases in the Trivandrum Division, the annual instalments for one or two years due from the ryots on account of their share of the cost of restoration of minor irrigation works were recovered in lump.

(2) Instructions have been issued to the effect that the orders contained in Rule 3 of the revised Irrigation Rules, dated 20-5-1923, for the collection of the dues in 10 yearly equal instalments, should be strictly adhered to.

Dispensing with the services of married women in the Education Department.

99. **MR. A. G. MENON** (*Parur*): Will the Government be pleased to state whether it is a fact that the Chief Inspectress of Girls' Schools has written to them about the necessity or advisability of dispensing with the services of married women in her department and, if such a communication has been received by them, what action they have taken on it?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): The Inspectress of Girls' Schools sends no direct reports to Government; but at her instance the Director of Public Instruction recommended that the present rule prohibiting the marriage of women clerks of the office of the Inspectress may be extended to the case of her Personal Assistant also, and Government have not accepted this recommendation.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly